Prison is the common way in most countries to solve the problem of crime. However, a ==more effective== solution is to provide people a ==better== education.

Do you agree or disagree?

People have different views about tackling the issue of crime. Whereas some argue that prison has been the most effective punitive measure, it seems that equipping people with superior education is a significantly better solution.

On the one hand, it is often argued that either penitentiaries or reformatory centers have been the best option for/against heinous crimes. Trying to secure society from any harm, the government should not let criminals roam freely among other people, for the authorities cannot take any risk when people's well-being is at stake. Moreover, taking away a man's freedom is about the worst punishments an individual can receive; as a result, not only would it send a strong message to would-be criminals that the government follows a zero-tolerance policy toward crime, but also it acts as a deterrent against any actions that is considered breaking the law.

On the other hand, a score of ample empirical evidence suggests that there is a meaningful relation between individuals' education and their tendency toward criminal offences. The more education one enjoys, the less likelihood of turning into crime. People receiving education especially in at a younger age would place a premium on their social status. Since a criminal record would ordinarily have a damaging effect on their future opportunities, people would think twice before getting involving involved in any kind of offence. Besides, they would be less likely to endanger their carrier career prospect knowing many potential employers would not look favorably toward people with criminal history.

In conclusion, although the immediate necessity for separating criminals by putting them in jail in is undeniable, I am holding to the view that preventive means such as education, if devised properly, would be to the benefit of society to a greater extent.